PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE AND INSTRUCTIONS

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE INSTRUCTIONS HQ MILITARY TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT COMMAND Contracting Center

The information obtained from this questionnaire will be utilized to evaluate the past and present performance of offerors submitting proposals in response to the solicitation W81GYE-04-R-0022. The information you provide will be instrumental in allowing the Government to evaluate how well the contractor performed under your contract(s).

- a. Please complete all sections of the attached questionnaire. Include your name and title, organizational address, email address, telephone and fax number.
- b. Include the contractor's name and address, the title and/or description of the type of work performed, the award number, the value of the contract (including options), the award and completion date of the project and the type of award/solicitation.
- c. Use the following rating scale to rate each performance element.
- **4 = Excellent: Clearly surpassed all minimum performance standards.**
- 3 = Good: Exceeded some of the minimum performance standards.
- 2 = Adequate: Met all of the minimum performance standards.
- 1 = Unacceptable: Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective

N/A =Non-applicable

- d. Comments are encouraged and would be appreciated. The last page may be used if additional space is needed for comments. Clear handwritten responses are sufficient.
- e. Please mail or email or fax your response to Elvie Thompson. Mrs. Thompson's numbers and addresses are included on the last page of the questionnaire.

Thank you for your time and participation.

YOUR NAME & TITLE YOUR		ORGANIZATIONAL ADDRESS				TEL NO.			FAX:		
CONTRACTOR'S NAME & ADDRESS	TITLE OI	R DESCR	DESCRIPTON OF REQUIREMENT:			E-MAIL: CONTRACT TYPE: COST + FEE		ГҮРЕ:	FIXE) PRICE	
					COMPETITIVE ASIDE			□ NON-	COMPETITI	VE SET-	
CONTRACT NUMBER/IDENTIFIER: CONTR			RACT VALUE (INCLUDING OPTIONS):				CONTRACT AWARD & COMPETION DATE:				
PAST PERFORMANCE ELEMENT]	RATIN	G				COM	MENTS	
Quality of Product or Services 1. To what extent did the contractor comply with contract		1	2	3	4	NA					
1. To what extent did the contractor comply with contrarequirements?	ict										
2. If reports were required, were they accurate in meetin contract requirements?	ng										
3. To what extent did the contractor use appropriate personnel for contract requirements?											
4. To what extent did the contractor display technical excellence?											
Timeliness of Performance											
To what extent did the contractor meet interim milestones?											
2. To what extent was the contractor reliable?											
3. To what extent was the contractor responsive to technique directions?	nical										
4. To what extent did the contractor complete contract performance on time, (adhere to contract schedule, inclu wrap-up and administration)?	uding										
5. Were liquidated damages assessed? If yes, provid details such as dollar amount in comment area.	le										
Cost Control											
1. To what extent did the contractor stay within the bud	lget.										
2. To what extent was the contractor relationship of negotiated costs to actual?											
3. To what extent did the contract effectively forecast contract cost.											
4. There were no cost issues.											
5. To what extent did contractor control loss/damages.											
Business Relations											
1. To what extent did the contractor display effective management?											

2. To what extent did the contractor generate businesslike correspondence?									
	what extent was the contractor re	sponsive to contract							
To what extent did the contractor notify the government of problems or potential problems?									
5. To what extent was the contractor reasonable and cooperative?									
6. To what extent was the contractor flexible in responding to changing needs?									
7. To what extent did the contractor take pro-active measures in lieu of "knee-jerk" reactions?									
8. To what extent were contractor recommended solutions effective?									
9. To what extent did the contractor maintain and meet goals of an effective subcontracting program?									
Management of Key Personnel									
To what extent did the contractor select key personnel appropriate to meet Contract requirements?									
2. To what extent did the contractor retain qualified key personnel?									
3. To what extent were the action taken by key personnel supported by the Corporation or home office?									
4. To what extent did the contractor replace of key personnel due to cause or provide immediate replacement upon vacancies?									
5. To what extent were the technical expertises of key personnel used or the extent key personnel gained expertise from the contract performance?									
4	EXCELLENT	PLEASE RETUR		1PLETI	ED PAS	T PERI	FORMAN	CE QUESTIONNAIRES TO:	
3	GOOD	MTAQ-P/Woodrow Bell 200 Stovall St. Hof II –12S45							
2	<u>ADEQUATE</u>	Alexandria, VA 22332-5000							
1	<u>UNACCEPTABLE</u>	Phone: 703-428-3474 E-MAIL: thompsonelvie@mtmc.army.mil							
NA	NOT APPLICABLE	Fax Number: 703-428-3381							